

Exhibit 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

UNILOC USA, INC., UNILOC
LUXEMBOURG, S.A., UNILOC 2017 LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., SAMSUNG CO. LTD.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:17-CV-00651-JRG

ORDER MEMORIALIZING RULINGS
FROM MARCH 4, 2019 PRETRIAL HEARING

On March 4, 2019, the Court held a Pretrial Hearing on certain motions in the above-referenced case. This Order memorializes the Court's rulings at the hearing.

Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (Dkt. No. 102) is

GRANTED-IN-PART and **DENIED-IN-PART**. The Court **GRANTS** the motion as to direct infringement, and **DENIES** it in all other respects.

The Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs leave to file an amended damages report subject to the following deadlines:

- Plaintiffs' amended damages report is due on **March 8, 2019**.
- Defendants' responsive report on damages is due on **March 11, 2019**.
- Any deposition of Plaintiffs' damages expert shall occur no later than **March 14, 2019**.
- Any deposition of Defendants' responsive damages expert shall occur no later than **March 14, 2019**.
- *Daubert* motions, if any, are due on **March 18, 2019**.

- Responses to any *Daubert* motions are due on **March 21, 2019**.

Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Doctrine of Equivalents Arguments (Dkt. No. 96) is **DENIED**.

Defendants' *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions and Testimony of Dr. William C. Easttom II (Dkt. No. 99) is **GRANTED-IN-PART** and **DENIED-IN-PART**. In view of the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (Dkt. No. 102), the Court **GRANTS** the motion and **STIKES** Dr. Easttom's report as to, but only as to, direct infringement by Samsung. The Court **DENIES** the motion in all other respects.


Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Pre-Suit Damages (Dkt. No. 100) is **DENIED** as moot in light of the Court's Order on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (Dkt. No. 102) and Plaintiffs' assertions that Plaintiffs are not seeking damages for pre-suit induced infringement.

Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Portions of Expert Reports by Defendants' Expert Nenad Medvidovic (Dkt. No. 101) is **DENIED**.

This Court will conduct a second Pretrial Hearing addressing any remaining issues on March 26, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.

So Ordered this

Mar 8, 2019



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE